

DL: Develop procedures anyway – then on the other side put what is needed in terms of equipment and skills (training).

Any use to have the ECTAO there? No need—we make recommendation to SWG – we agree they will not become operational until x takes place – we recommend the SWG to ECTAO or whoever asking for these things. (Dayton on behalf).

So, SUBWG recommends to SWG.

Question re delay in meetings: met with general and Amos Gilead: AG briefed by his people – they misinterpreted what DL had said – AG insisted on meeting KD before going forward with sub-working group.

List – DL had given them a list (2 pages) – from wco chapter 33 and 96 – most countries have agreed to the harmonised code – so what’s wrong with it? It is an international instrument – if you want to add to it, reasonable ... ok.

They mentioned nitric acid etc... he said let’s see whether it is justified. DL told them Pals are open to applying the same measures as Israel.

Oded now back to mandate of coming up with a list.

Regulation of hazardous material – related to manufacturing in the same way as it applies to import policy.

DL will tell Israeli that it will be useful to identify the Israeli regime. (HS code). HS committee at the WCO.

To protect Pal position so as not to derogate from PP – we have not addressed the issue of commercial imports at KS.

AG said he wants the working groups to work ... it should be back on track.

Hazardous:

Normal:

Prohibited

Controlled / regulated

DL: this work is good but will apply when we move to commercial

Re customs / security coordination: this is not at the level of Saeb – need an effort to document procedures – the way to get decision is from bottom up. need to get each party to identify what it wants

Change “hazardous” to “prohibited”

On potentially hazardous – issue of facilities / labs: short term is difficult – Canada has standard labs – which connect to more specialised labs.
Not realistic to create a lab quickly.

Option of Egypt testing? Discuss in bilateral.

DL comments on presentation:

Nothing on safekeeping – need to document procedures before you think about monitoring – you need a safe room in RCP – only used to store things that have been confiscated – access control – record of what comes in and out.

Designated persons to use the room – and log – specific person needs to be accountable.
Need to find out existing system for auditing.

Include in procedures that certain items should be stored off-site.
Eg. Big seizure of drugs (high value) you give to proper police authority.

On disposal: include a few words that describe the mechanism
Auction? Problems ...

Keep the weapons? DL violently disagrees. – if so it should be an institutional policy – customs and GACB – not the PG to decide – it is there to implement policy.

Enhance role for monitors in the procedures. Eg audit role in storage room – monitor disposal etc.

You cannot have local operation until you have a manual – need to develop one for all aspect of operations of the crossing.